

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addiese: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P O Box 1430 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/555,145	03/01/2007	Tatsuya Sakagami	F-8877	3932
28107 7590 (9/10/2009) JORDAN AND HAMBURG LLP 122 EAST 42ND STREET SUITE 4000 NEW YORK, NY 10168			EXAMINER	
			BASTIANELLI, JOHN	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3753	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			03/10/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

# Application No. Applicant(s) 10/555,145 SAKAGAMI ET AL Office Action Summary Examiner Art Unit John Bastianelli 3753 -- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS. WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 27 February 2009. 2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1-4 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) 4 is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-3 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on 01 March 2007 is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some \* c) None of: Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). \* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s)

1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)

Paper No(s)/Mail Date 11/1/05,3/6/07

2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)

Interview Summary (PTO-413)
 Paper No(s)/Mail Date.

6) Other:

Notice of Informal Patent Application

Application/Control Number: 10/555,145 Page 2

Art Unit: 3753

## DETAILED ACTION

### Election/Restrictions

- Applicant's election of Group I, claims 1-3 in the reply filed on February 27, 2009
  is acknowledged. Because applicant did not distinctly and specifically point out the
  supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election has been treated as an
  election without traverse (MPEP § 818.03(a)).
- Claim 4 is withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b) as being drawn to a nonelected group, there being no allowable generic or linking claim.
   Election was made without traverse in the reply filed on February 27, 2009.

## Drawings

3. The drawings are objected to because Fig. 1 is not hatched properly (it appears to be a solid black shape with indistinguishable features). Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. The figure or figure number of an amended drawing should not be labeled as "amended." If a drawing figure is to be canceled, the appropriate figure must be removed from the replacement sheet, and where necessary, the remaining figures must be renumbered and appropriate changes made to the brief description of the several views of the drawings for consistency. Additional replacement sheets may be necessary to show the renumbering of the remaining figures. Each

Application/Control Number: 10/555,145

Art Unit: 3753

drawing sheet submitted after the filing date of an application must be labeled in the top margin as either "Replacement Sheet" or "New Sheet" pursuant to 37 CFR 1.121(d). If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

4. Figures 9-11 should be designated by a legend such as --Prior Art-- because only that which is old is illustrated. See MPEP § 608.02(g). Corrected drawings in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The replacement sheet(s) should be labeled "Replacement Sheet" in the page header (as per 37 CFR 1.84(c)) so as not to obstruct any portion of the drawing figures. If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

#### Specification

5. Applicant is reminded of the proper language and format for an abstract of the disclosure.

The abstract should be in narrative form and generally limited to a single paragraph on a separate sheet within the range of 50 to 150 words. It is important that the abstract not exceed 150 words in length since the space provided for the abstract on the computer tape used by the printer is limited. The form and legal phraseology often used in patent claims, such as "means" and "said," should be avoided. The abstract should describe the disclosure sufficiently to assist readers in deciding whether there is a need for consulting the full patent text for details.

The language should be clear and concise and should not repeat information given in the title. It should avoid using phrases which can be implied, such as, "The

Application/Control Number: 10/555,145 Page 4

Art Unit: 3753

disclosure concerns," "The disclosure defined by this invention," "The disclosure describes." etc.

 The abstract of the disclosure is objected to because it is too long and includes the term "The present invention provides". Correction is required. See MPEP

§ 608.01(b).

### Claim Objections

7. Claim 1 is objected to because of the following informalities: In claim 1, the annular insert is not seen to have a valve body fitting annular groove (this is only seen in the seat part which is claimed previously). At the end of claim 1, "the insert being provided in the annular groove provided in the valve body fitting annular groove" does not make sense as the first annular groove is not referenced previously and is seen to be the same thing as the second annular groove anyway. Appropriate correction is required.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 8. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- Claims 1-3 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over JP 2972566 in view of JP 4019961.

Art Unit: 3753

JP 2972566 discloses a seat ring (Fig. 4) with insert for engaging a butterfly valve, the valve having a valve body with an inner peripheral surface, the seat ring having a seat part 12 including a valve body fitting annular groove and the seat part being fitted on the valve body inner peripheral surface 13; an annular insert 11 fitted in the seat part, the insert comprising a valve body fitting annular groove having side wall parts on both the upstream and downstream sides of the insert; a step 11b is provided on an outer peripheral surface of the insert so that an outer diameter on one side is smaller than an outer diameter on the other side and the insert being fitted in the annular groove provided in the valve body fitting annular groove of the seat part. JP 2972566 is silent as to which side is downstream. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to make the downstream side be on the side of the step that is smaller as the flow would naturally force the seat ring in the direction that would provide a stop for the seat ring insert to keep it in place as opposed to being in the other direction in which the flow could unseat the seat ring insert from the valve body. JP 2972566 lacks a locking projection being provided on the inner peripheral surface of the insert. JP 4019961 discloses a locking projection (projections in 12) being provided on the inner peripheral surface of the insert. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use the locking projection of JP 4019961 in the insert of JP 2972566 in order to keep it in place without sliding around. JP 2972566 is silent as the thickness of the side wall part. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to make the valve body fitting annular groove has a side wall part

Art Unit: 3753

on the downstream side with a thickness of 2-5 mm as a matter of obvious design choice, since such a modification would have involved a mere change in size of a component and a change in size is generally recognized as being within the level of ordinary skill in the art. *In re Rose*, 105 USPQ 237 (CCPA1955) and this is not stated as solving any stated problem. There is provided a fitting groove or fitting protrusion (seen as the protrusion on one side of the step or the groove on the other side of the step) on the outer peripheral surface of the insert in a tube stem direction.

#### Conclusion

10. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Schaeffer, Sonderegger, Ueda, Muller, Bonafous, Conners, Phillips and Housworth disclose seat ring inserts in butterfly valves.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to John Bastianelli whose telephone number is (571) 272-4921. The examiner can normally be reached on M-Th (8-6:30).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Greg Huson can be reached on (571) 272-4887. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 3753

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

John Bastianelli Primary Examiner Art Unit 3753

/John Bastianelli/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3753